ction G. Policy for Local War Politure and Strategy

The threat of local aggression confronts the United States with many difficult problems. The aggression itself could take any one of a great variety of forms. It could occur in one or more of a large number of areas, and under a wide range of geographic and climatic conditions. Its precise origins and objectives might be difficult to identify. The Soviet Union, Red China, or other members of the Communist Bloc might be involved directly or covertly. Aggression might even take place simultaneously in two or more parts of the world. Resistance to it might require concerted diplomatic and military action on the part of the U. S. and one or more of its Allies.

The U. S. regards the threat of local aggression as endangaring its vital interests. It and its Allies must therefore be prepared to deter or, if necessary, to repel local aggression wherever and however such aggression may take place. The specific goals which the local war policy of the U. S. seeks to attain are:

- 1. The capability on the part of Allies and other members of the Free World to bear a large share of the burden of determing and repelling local aggression.
- 2. The capability on the part of the U. S. to respond to local aggression locally, wherever it may occur and whatever form it may take.

 This capability will be designed so as to enable the U. S.:

- a. To react swiftly and effectively to local aggression; to forel the aggression; and where opportune, to recover territory lost in previous aggressions.
 - b. To place main but not solo reliance on non-nuclear weapons.
 - c. To fight in concert with Allios, but unilatorally if necessary.
- d. To fight locally in direct conflict with the armed forces of the Sine-Soviet Bloc.
- o. Consistent with the achievement of military objectives, to limit damage within the theater of war, and to exercise special care in this regard when nuclear weapons are authorized for use.
 - . f. To prevent or control the escalation of a local war.
- weapons.
- h. To achieve objectives as formulated by constituted political authority.

Fulfillment of these goals will be undertaken according to the following guidance:

1. With respect to U. S. force posture and deployment.

Force strengths of the U. S. and Allies should be maintained at a level adequate to deter or defeat aggression by the Communist Bloc without the use of nuclear weapons in those parts of the world where the U. S. has a logistical advantage. In least favorably located argae this afrength should be sufficient to provent a Communist take-over for long

and execute such decisions for increasing the scope and intensity of the conflict as seem appropriate. At the present time the main basis for U.S. local war planning outside of Europe is the Korean Contingency Plan. The scale of conflict represented by this Plan, including the mobilization potential of reserve forces, remains the basis of U.S. local war planning for the present. Forces not assigned central war missions as their primary role will prepare and be deployed primarily for the conduct of non-nuclear local war. These forces will be equipped with the most advanced non-nuclear weapons and munitions.

Air and sea transport will be maintained and modernized for the rapid movement of forces to areas of existing or potential conflict.

Material will be pre-stocked in selected areas overseas for the support of initial operations. Emphasis should be given to communications and recommissance capabilities for local war.

National Guard and Reserve personnel that are not assigned specific duties with respect to air defense of the U.S. will have as their primary mission preparation for local war. Strengths, equipment, and training should be such as to permit rapid mobilization and expeditious reinforcement of these forces. These units will have civil defense preparation as a secondary mission.

Ctrong special forces for counter-insurgency and for Suerrilla operations should be regarded as a vital element in local war plans and

parations. Plans and the deployment of forces for combitting subversion ped para-military aggression should take into account the consequences of escalation of the level of conflict by either side. Wherever possible and desirable the U.S. and its Allies should be prepared to commit large forces to a local area. This ability will not only help to deter a lauger commitment by the other side but should help to influence the course of development of para-military operations. The first of the contractions of the contraction of the " ... Sizeable U. S. forces will be retained abroad in a high state of the readiness. Where necessary, forces will be withdrawn from one overseas command to participate in or support actions initiated within another command or thuster. Particularly in the case of Europe, where forces ... are wishdrawn for action elsewhere, either they will return to their original overseas command at an early date, or they will be replaced in that command by units from the U. S. Comments of the Anthrope temperature by 2. With respect to nuclear wearons, - water the same wall of liw emogasw reslaurand non-nuclear waspone will be carefully preserved, and the decision to use nuclear weapons will be taken only on high political authority or according to rules defined by such

Nuclear-capable units will have the ability and the mission to support Nuclear-capable units will have the ability and the mission to support Allied as well as U. S. forces in local war. They will be organized and maintained so as to permit flexible target assignment. They will be located in such a way that they can be responsive to Allied as well as U. S.

It remains the policy of the U. S. to use nuclear weapons if they should be required in order to fulfill the treaty obligations of the U. S. or to preserve vital national interests. Use of nuclear weapons, when authorized, will be with due regard for the several objectives of attaining military superiority over the enemy, limiting damage to the country or countries being defended, preserving Alliances, and avoiding accidents or unauthorized acts.

3. With respect to the conduct of local war.

At a minimum, the U. S. is prepared to provide assistance in the form of material, empert advice, and economic support to countries subject to any type of aggression by the Communist Dioc. In some circumstances it may be advantageous for the U. S. to intervene immediately and forcefully. U. S. forces will therefore be prepared for rapid and effective intervention at the outset of, or in anticipation of, an aggression,

Where U. S. and other allied military intervention occurs, the effort will be to keep the conflict at the non-nuclear level and locally confined, while successfully repalling the aggression.

The U. S. will also be prepared to use nuclear weapons in defense of the Free World, and will meet nuclear aggression with the appropriate nuclear response. Massive non-nuclear attack launched against with areas that cannot be defeated at the non-nuclear level will meet a

Aclear response. This policy applies to the NATO area at a minimum.

Contingency plans for U. S. participation and assistance in possible local conflicts will estimate what objectives could be attained at various levels of effort on the part of prospective enemies, allies, and the U. S. Nuclear annexes will be appended indicating U. S. targets and weapons assignments. They will also indicat the consequences of limited use by the Sine-Soviet Bloc of nuclear weapons on the ability of our own forces to engage in local war.

It might not prove possible in some instances to deter or defeat AT THE PARTY OF TH local aggression without being prepared to increase the U. S. commitment. and the property of the second Under existing conditions, which are marked by the cold war and occasional out breaks of violence, the U.S. must give particular evidence of its The street of the community of the street of determination to defend the Free World. Therefore, in order to deter local 一点,有一点,一种快速搬车,就是"量"。 医二角 医艾克氏试验检尿 "我们是我们的一种",这个是我们的 aggression, to exercise control over the escalation of a local war and Both the State State to deter the enemy from increasing his commitment, plans and preparations and the second of the second o will be made for a possible grave crisis including: • , . • • ,

- a. Mobilizing manpower and economic resources; increasing the defense budget.
- b. Shifting or expanding the area of conflict in such a way that U. S. and allied strengths can be more effectively applied.
 - c. Engaging in harassing tactics within the Communist Ploc.
 - d. Alerting and exercising the central war forces of the U. S.

cilities in areas friendly to the U. S. where base rights are not currently available and where bases and infrastructure are inadequate to the support of U. S. forces. These facilities would enable U. S. and allied forces to support friendly countries in the most expeditious manner possible in the event of crisis or war.

en de la communitation de

and the constantion of the constant of the con

The stable contract the payments of the profits of the profits of the stable of the st

The most state of the second of the control of the second of the second

Planning for U. S. participation in local wars should be based on the assumption that the forces available for non-nuclear, local conflict will be restricted to the active units. National Guard, and Reserve units of the Armed Forces. It is not the present policy of the U. S. to prepare for conflict approaching the scale of World War II.

4. With respect to overseas bases.

Barring a radical change in the international situation, the U. S. will require the ability to make its power felt anywhere in the world. Therefore, it is in the continuing interest of the U. S. to retain a sizeable system of overseas bases and base rights, to deploy U. S. forces overseas, and to ensure maintenance of the infrastructure necessary for their support. Although the utility of oversees bases for central war is declining, their importance for the support of local war forces and operations remains Plans will thermfore be drawn up for the acquisition and retention of a worldwide system of bases. These plans will provide the basis for future negotiation of base rights, as and when such negotiations are deemed expedient. Efforts will be made in advance do procure access to bases, use of bases, and overflight rights in connection with the widest possible range of contingencies. Overseas bases will also continue to be available for the performance of selected central war missions, and reconnaissance and intelligence functions.

It is in the interest of the U. S. to assist in the development of base

Policy for Alliances and Alliad Canabilities

The alliances to which the U. S. is a party serve several purposes:

- They represent clear evidence that the U. S. intends to participate in the defence of certain countries and regions. As such, they are guarantees.
- 2. They constitute an agreement whereby the defense of member countries and other designated nations is facilitated and shared.
- 117 3. They are institutions through which economic growth, politicalstability, and regional integration may be promoted.

The U. S. will continue to honor its obligations under the treatics to which it is a party. However, these obligations will not be regarded as necessarily constraining the U.S. from acting unilaterally to maintain its vital interests in any area of the world. Nor will these obligations be regarded as barring the U. S. from accepting and supporting the neutrality of certain countries. It is the policy of the U. S. to form and support alliances insofar as they serve to increase the strength of the Free World and the assurance that it will be defunded. Some countries are unsuited by location and internal problems

to make an effective contribution to Free World strength. Provided that

their neutrality is genuine, the U.S. will respect it and will contribute

to their efforts to achieve economic growth and maintain national inde-

pendence.

Whore our allies are concerned, it seems unlikely in the near future must they will be able, either independently or collectively, to develop significant nuclear capabilities. Furthermore, the widespread diffusion of nuclear weapons could promote disunity, divert resources from non-nuclear tasks, make nuclear escalation of local war more likely, increase the chance of accidents and unauthorized acts, and would almost certainly complicate the problem of controlling the course and character of a nuclear war if it did occur. It would also make arms control more difficult. To the extent feasible, the U. S. will therefore continue to maintain and control the nuclear forces necessary to the defense of the Free World. The U. S. should make it evident abroad that a policy of renouncing independent nuclear capabilities will be welcomed by the U. S. as a contribution to world stability. Where other countries possess or acquire nuclear weapons, they will be encouraged to place these weapons underbilateral or multilateral commands in which the U. S. would have a veto over their use and a major voice in the process of planning for their bas employment. U. S. nuclear weapons are required by law to remain under U. S. control in peacetime. Strict adherence to the law will remain Lbenoi. oupnu

The U. S. is a party will be regarded as that of repelling local aggression by non-nuclear means. Where necessary, the U. S. will supply the nuclear current required in a local war.

the threshold at which the use of nuclear weapons would be required in the event of war, our allies will be advised that their possession of substantial, ready, non-nuclear epabilities is regarded as vital to the U. S. as well as to themselves. They will also be advised that their voice in : counsels with the U.S. will be much more a function of their non-nuclear than of their nuclear capabilities. . They will be encouraged to increase their non-nuclear capabilities, research and development to the extent that this is economically and politically feasible. The U.S. will support them in this enterprise. It will not assist them to acquire nuclear weapons under present circumstances where we was transfered with the grant say. NATO - 4 1 A SERVICE COSTITUTE STATE CONTROL OF THE DESCRIPTION --... The North Atlantic. Treaty Organization represents the alliance in which the U. S. sets the greatest store. The integrity and independence of Western Europe are of vital interest to the U.S. Western Europe and has the resources to make a very large contribution to the defense of the Free World.: The broad outlines of our military policy toward NATO are garmanations organists in a second strate submandance. as follows: the first priority should be given, in NATO programs for the first European area, to preparing for contingencies short of nuclear or massive responsible to the contract of non-nuclear attack.

CHARLE TO BE STATE TOTALED OF THE OF THE CHARLES

non-nuclear attack in the theater, but not to a degree that would divert

or from programs to assure an ample and protected U. S. central war capability.

- 3. The objectives of NATO's non-nuclear forces should be the containment of Seviet forces now in or rapidly deployabel to Central Europe for a period sufficient to enable the Russians to appreciate the wider risks of the course on which they have embarked, and the defeat of any Soviet aggression of lesser size. The U. S. should also support the development of a mobile tack force to help deal with threats to NATO's flanks.
- 4. U. S. ground forces will be retained in Europe at present strength for the foreseeable future. However, consistent with the primary importance of protecting the NATO area, certain of these forces may be required temporarily to undertake local military operations outside the European theator.
- 5. NATO non-nuclear/research and development and coordinated production will be encouraged, as will the further rationalization and integration of national defenses in the NATO area.
- 6. Nuclear weapons will be retained in Europe. Their composition, numbers, and siting will be changed in accordance with changing technology and NATO and Communist Bloc force structures.
- 7. Measures to improve the survivability, security, and responsiveness, of nuclear capabilities in Europe will be adopted.
 - 3. So far as the U. S. is concerned, it is vital that the use of the

however, most important to the U. S. that the use of nuclear weapons by the forces of other actions in Europe be subject to American veto and control. Therefore, the concept of a veto by another than ourselves over the nuclear forces located in the European theater is not contrary to our interests.

- 9. Until such time as the North Atlantic Council has worked out agreed guidelines concerning the use of nuclear weapons, the President will make clear his readiness and intent to use them if NATO forces have been subjected to nuclear attack or are about to be everwhelmed by non-muclear forces.
- 10. The U.S. in prepared to commit additional nuclear forces based outside of Europe to NATO. Deployment and targeting of these forces will be the duty of the responsible NATO commands.
 - II. In view of these commitments, the U.S. will discourage its NATO allies from acquiring or retaining independent nuclear capabilities. The U.S. should not facilitate European development or production of advanced delivery systems primarily designed for nuclear weapons delivery.

Section I. Policy for Military Assistance Programs

The U.S. is vitally immested in promoting the economic growth, political stability, and development of democratic instinutions within the

of the Free World be made secure both grainst internal subversion and emornal argression. Security from these dangers is, in fact, a prerequisite to the attainment of longer-range economic and political objectives. It is desirable, moreover, for the nations of the Free World to be capable of deterring or thwarting both internal subversion and local aggression.

Without the intervention of U. S. armed forces.

Some nations in danger of Communist aggression do not have the resources to maintain armed forces adequate to their needs and at the same time promote economic growth and political stability. Internal subversion may represent as great a problem to other members of the Free World as aggression from the outside. Still other nations may have military needs and aspirations of a different character. Allocations of resources to assist these countries must be determined in the full

resources to assist these countries must be determined in the full realization that no single nation on the periphery of the Communist Bloc could withstand, or hope to withstand, the full weight of Sino-Soviet military power. In some instances it may therefore be desirable to support smaller but more efficient forces than are currently being maintained. In other instances it may be important to concentrate on forces designed to uphold internal security, or on the means necessary to facilitate U. S. and allied military support. In determining the level of called and indigenous forces to be supported, account should be raken of the utility of developing regions of strength around the Sino-Soviet pariphery

giver cost of supporting indigenous forces as compared with U. S. forces should also be taken into account. In all instances it seems desirable to assist in the development of capabilities sufficient to make a potential enamy commit an evert act of aggression in order to achieve his ends.

Pending a review of current military assistance programs, their worth will be judged by their contribution to one or more of the following objectives:

- 1. The maintenance of armed forces at least adequate to provide initial strong resistance to armed aggression.
- Z. The maintenance of forces capable of achieving internal security through police operations, frontier guard, and counter-guerrilla activities.
- 3. The creation or maintenance of U. S. bases and base rights as well as the facilities to enable the U. S. and its allies to support an attacked country in the most expeditious possible manner.
- A. The support of U. S. policies by the government and armed forces of the assisted country.
- 5. The prevention or reduction of dependence on Sino-Soviet assistance by the country being supported.

The U. S. will continue military assistance programs simed at these objectives for the foreseeable future. Assistance will be confined (insofar as possible) to the support of non-nuclear capabilities and will be tailored to the specific security needs of each assisted country. It will not be used to enable other countries to develop strategic nuclear capabilities.

control war as their primary mission.

Programs will be ederinistered with the regard for the multiplicity of U. S. interests in each of the assisted countries. Since political, economic, and security objectives are interdependent, the means for their achievement should be coordinated and made mutually reinforcing.

Section J. Policy for Intelligence and Para-Military Operations

it remains especially important for the United States to continue and improve its intelligence operations. These operations will receive the full support of the government. Two areas in particular warrant determined efforts.

Because of the uncertainties surrounding Communist military research development, and procurement it is of the utmost importance that national intelligence estimates concerning the future force structure and posture of the Communist Bloc - and especially the longer-range estimates - be based on the best possible intelligence collection programs and methods of analysis and estimation. Where necessary, they should also show not only single estimates, but also a range of alternatives in order to reflect the uncertainties which are necessarily inherent in such projections. The military plane and programs of the United States require both the admission of uncertainty and a reasoned estimate of its probable range.

in view of the dangers of local and certal war, it is equally important that the intelligence operations of the U.S. be able to function in other than normal peacetime conditions. Particular attention will be given to:

- decin special collection efforts under conditions of entreme international tension. At all times, plans will be ready for employing this standby capability to refine and fill in gaps in our current knowledge.
- 2. Improving the capability of th intelligence community to survive even in the most adverse conditions of combat, and continue operations.

 Such improvements will include the protection of easential personnel and communications.
- generated by the wartime operation and control of U. S. forces. This should include preparations to take advantage of wartime opportunities to provide intelligence of importance to the security of the United States.

 This guidence applies to both central and local ware.
- 4. Preparing measures of cover and deception for use in wartime.

 Central war as well as local war contingencies will be analyzed in the preparation of these measures.

Para-military and covert capabilities will also receive increased support and additional resources. These techniques will be regarded as a normal and important part of the U. S. capability to deal with the afforts

It is Communicated to expand its influence. Plans and preparations for such operations should not be confined to the activities of several individuals, nor to activities within the Free World only. They should include covert operations of substantially greater magnitude, and they should consider targets within the Communist Bloc as well as within the Free World.

Section K. Policy for Reserach and Development

Technology is dynamic and changes in it are difficult to predict.

There will probably be surprising developments in the 1960's just as there certainly have been in the 1940's and 1950's. Moreover, owing to the rapid advance of Soviet technology, there is as great a likelihood that the Soviets will surprise us as that we will surprise them.

There is also great uncertainty about the size and composition of future Soviet military capabilities.

These factors, coupled with the possibility that other countries will acquire sophisticated nuclear weapons systems, make it essential that we hedge against uncertainty. We should be able to adapt our military posture to a variety of contingencies and we must keep open the options to do so.

Recoling options open means that we should start development programs in the full realization that changed and unforeseen circumstances

completed. It means that we may have to cancel others even though

We should also procure a reduction in leadtime, being prepared to make decisions at an early date to buy production capabilities that we may never use. To the end of reducing both cost and lead time we should be prepared to place major development projects in the hands of competent individuals and to reduce to a minimum bureaucratic interference with management of these projects.

In short, a substantial and diversified military research and development effort is clearly a necessity. It will be encouraged and supported.

confidence to the

This effort should include, as a high priority, support of research on basic physical phenomena which might be translated into new weapons for the armed forces. It should also include support of policy-oriented research designed to help us understand better how to achieve our security goals in a complex and changing world.

Section L. Policy for the Control and Reduction of Armaments

The maintenance of the security interests of the United States under present conditions requires that the United States and its Allies have at

their disposal armed forces sufficient to deter or defeat any aggression, whether it be directed against an ally or against the United States itself, whether nuclear or non-nuclear weapons are employed. So long as the Communist Bloc continues its efforts to disrupt and subjugate the Free World, the retention of military power ample for these purposes will remain of the utmost importance. However, it is not in the interest of the United States, in retaining this power, to intensify the arms race. Nor is it in our interest to engage in disarmament or arms control simply to cave money. The United States can afford and will spend whatever is required to maintain its security.

to arms control and disarmament. They are:

- I. Agreements in this area are not to be regarded as a good in themselves. Arms control policy is to be considered as a means of securing the vital interests of the United States. Not only is it to be judged by the same criteria as defense policy, arms control policy and defense policy are identical.
- 2. Prospective agreements are to be scrutinized not only for their effect on the security of the United States, but also for their effect on the security of our Allies.
- 3. Tacit agreements will be regarded as having autility at least equal to formal agreements. Allowing for the secrecy of the Communist Bloc, where such tacit agreements appear to be in force. and contribute

to the escurity of the United States and its Allies, they will be exerved.
Additional tacit agreements that would prove advantageous will be sought.

4. Should circumstances charge, and a world order emerge in which disputes could be settled equitably and without resort to force, the United States would be interested in the controlled reduction of armaments to a low level.

At the present time, the United States will continue to work toward arms reduction and control whils maintaining a suitable defense posture.

Where negotiations for the reduction of armament are not already in progress, the United States will prepare contingent positions for their eventuality. These preparations will take due account of evolving technology the comparative risks of entering into various possible agreements and of not doing so. These preparations should also take account of the sanctions or other actions that would be available and useful in the event that such an agreement were violated or were to break down.

provided that such reduction does not wealmothe United States and its.

Allies relative to their prospective enemies. But this goal by no means precludes efforts at the control of existing and future armaments in such a way as to reduce the likelihood of were inimical to the interests of the United States. Measures to direinish the probability of surprise attack, to provent unauthorized military acts or accidents, and unpremeditated or

hasty actions - especially with nuclear weapons - are among those that will continue to receive high priority attention and analysis.

The importance of arms control is such that, pending the conclusion of satisfactory international agreements to this end, the United States unilaterally will take measures designed to reduce the vulnerability of its forces, to strengthen the ability of the highest national authority to exercise unhurried, deliberate, and flexible control over their use, and to discourage the diffusion of nuclear weapons and advanced delivery capabilities to other countries. Such measures will be regarded not only as increasing the national security, but also as important contributions to the control of armaments.